

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Inequalities on the time evolution of probabilities

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L699 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/15/015)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.62 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 19:02

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Inequalities on the time evolution of probabilities

#### W Grimus

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Received 25 May 1993

Abstract. We consider probabilities  $p_M(t)$  for quantum mechanical systems to be found in a subspace M of the Hilbert space of states and derive an upper bound on the change in time of  $p_M(t)$  which contains only the Hamiltonian and the projector  $P_M$  onto M but not the density matrix.

In the discussion of the solar neutrino puzzle, neutrino propagation in matter and magnetic fields plays an important role (for recent reviews see [1]). In the ultrarelativistic case, which is most likely relevant for all neutrinos participating in solutions to this problem, neutrino propagation can be studied by considering an effective Schrödinger equation [2]

$$i\frac{d\psi}{dt} = H\psi \tag{1}$$

where  $\psi$  is a vector whose components are labelled by neutrino helicity and flavour and H is simply a t-dependent matrix acting on  $\psi$ . In the most general situation envisaged in the literature H is given by [2, 1]

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\rm L} + (1/2p)V_{\rm S}^{\dagger}V_{\rm S} & -B_{+}\lambda^{\dagger} \\ -\dot{B}_{-}\lambda & V_{\rm R} + (1/2p)V_{\rm S}V_{\rm S}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

for neutrinos propagating in the sun. In this matrix p is the neutrino momentum and  $B_{\pm} = B_1 \pm iB_2$  with  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  being components of the magnetic field orthogonal to the propagation direction. For  $n_F$  flavours  $V_L$ ,  $V_R$  and  $\lambda$  are  $n_F \times n_F$  matrices. In the simplest case  $V_L$  describes coherent forward scattering by matter through the standard model charged and neutral current interactions,  $V_R = 0$  for Dirac neutrinos and  $-V_L^*$  for Majorana neutrinos,  $V_S = M$ , the neutrino mass matrix, and  $\lambda$  contains the magnetic moments and transition moments [3]. In notation (2) the upper  $n_F$  components of  $\psi$  have negative and the lower half positive helicity.  $V_L$  ( $V_R$ ) and  $B_{1,2}$  vary along the neutrino path. Therefore H depends on t.

Recently Moretti [4] has derived an inequality for the probability of transitions from negative to positive helicity in the case of two neutrino flavours and the Hamiltonian (2). In this letter we will show that the result of [4] is a special case of a class of inequalities involving probabilities  $p_M(t)$  for finding the system in a given hyperplane M of the Hilbert space. These inequalities are also valid if the state of the system is described by a density matrix  $\rho_t$ . In this case (1) has to be replaced by

$$\mathbf{i}\dot{\rho}_t = [H(t), \rho_t]. \tag{3}$$

Consequently, the general result is neither confined to probabilites for spin flip nor to the special form of H in (2). With some caution it will also be applicable to systems with an infinite number of degrees of freedom but there questions of the domain of H(t) will be important. To avoid these complications we will confine ourselves to the finite-dimensional case and prove the following theorem.

0305-4470/93/150699+04\$07.50 © 1993 IOP Publishing Ltd

### L700 Letter to the Editor

Theorem. Suppose that a system described by a density matrix  $\rho_t$  and a Hamiltonian H(t) has a finite number of degrees of freedom, i.e.  $\rho_t$  and H(t) act on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, let M be a hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $P_M$  being the projector onto it. Then the probability to find the system in M is given by

$$p_M(t) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \rho_t P_M \right) \tag{4}$$

and the inequality

$$|p_M(t_1) - p_M(t_0)| \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left( \text{Tr} \left( P_M H(t) (1 - P_M) H(t) P_M \right) \right)^{1/2}$$
(5)

holds for all  $t_0 \leq t_1$ .

Proof. It is convenient to consider first pure states with density matrices

$$\rho_t = |\psi_t\rangle\langle\psi_t|\,.\tag{6}$$

At the end it will be easy to see the validity of (5) for mixed states.

In the case of (6) the probability (4) is given by

$$\rho_M(t) = \langle \psi_t | P_M \psi_t \rangle \,. \tag{7}$$

To prove (5) we first take the derivative of (7):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P_M}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi | P_M \dot{\psi} \rangle = 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle \psi | P_M H \psi \rangle = 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle \psi | P_M H (1 - P_M) \psi \rangle.$$
(8)

Then we integrate (8), use  $|\text{Im } z| \leq |z|$  and employ the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Thus we obtain

$$|p_{M}(t_{1}) - p_{M}(t_{0})| \leq 2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt |\langle \psi | P_{M} H(1 - P_{M})\psi \rangle|$$
  
$$\leq 2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt || P_{M} \psi || || P_{M} H(1 - P_{M})\psi ||.$$
(9)

In the next step we calculate the length of the vector  $P_M H(1 - P_M)\psi$  by using an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{\gamma}|\gamma = 1, ..., \dim \mathcal{H}\}$  and employ the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality once more which leads to

$$|p_{M}(t_{1}) - p_{M}(t_{0})| \leq 2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt ||P_{M}\psi|| \left(\sum_{\gamma} |\langle e_{\gamma}|P_{M}H(1 - P_{M})\psi\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$
  
$$\leq 2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt ||P_{M}\psi|| \left(\sum_{\gamma} ||(1 - P_{M})HP_{M}e_{\gamma}||^{2}\right)^{1/2} ||(1 - P_{M})\psi||$$
  
$$\leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt \left(\sum_{\gamma} ||(1 - P_{M})HP_{M}e_{\gamma}||^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (10)

In the last step we have taken advantage of

$$\|(1-P_M)\psi\|^2 = 1 - \|P_M\psi\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\|P_M\psi\|\|(1-P_M)\psi\| \le 1.$$
 (11)

In this way the state vector  $\psi$  drops out of the integral.

Finally, we show that (10) is also valid for general density matrices

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle\psi_{\alpha}| \tag{12}$$

where  $\{\psi_{\alpha} | \alpha = 1, ..., \dim \mathcal{H}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} = 1$  with  $\rho_{\alpha} \ge 0$  for all  $\alpha$ . In this case we define probabilities  $p_{M}^{\alpha}(t) = \langle \psi_{\alpha t} | P_{M} \psi_{\alpha t} \rangle$  such that

$$p_M = \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} p_M^{\alpha}. \tag{13}$$

Using inequality (10) for each of the  $p_M^{\alpha}$  we obtain

$$|p_{M}(t_{1}) - p_{M}(t_{0})| \leq \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} |p_{M}^{\alpha}(t_{1}) - p_{M}^{\alpha}(t_{0})| \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt \left(\sum_{\gamma} \|(1 - P_{M})HP_{M}e_{\gamma}\|^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$
(14)

which shows the validity of the theorem for general mixed states.

*Remarks.* As can be seen from the proof the integrand in inequality (5) can be represented in several equivalent forms by using properties of projectors and traces. These expressions are given by

$$\operatorname{Tr} (P_M H (1 - P_M) H P_M) = \operatorname{Tr} ((1 - P_M) H P_M H (1 - P_M))$$
  
= 
$$\operatorname{Tr} (P_M H (1 - P_M) H) = \sum_{\gamma} ||(1 - P_M) H P_M e_{\gamma}||^2$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{\gamma} ||P_M H (1 - P_M) e_{\gamma}||^2.$$
(15)

In the case of dim $\mathcal{H} = \infty$  strong enough assumptions have to be made to allow for the manipulations at each step of the proof. In the final result the third expression in (15) will not be suited for infinite dimensions because the operator  $P_M H(1 - P_M)H$  will in general be neither positive nor in the trace class. For positive operators the trace is well defined if infinity is included in its range [5] and thus the other expressions in (15) will make sense apart from possible difficulties with the domain of H(t). If M is finite-dimensional and all manipulations with respect to the domain of H(t) are well defined in (15) then we expect (5) to hold. If dim $M = \infty$  there will still be cases where (5) is applicable and gives a finite bound. However, it is easy to find examples even with bounded Hamiltonians such that the traces in (15) are infinite. Needless to say in this case (5) is useless.

Let us come back to the spin flip in the solar neutrino problem. The subspace of positive helicity is given by the vectors which have zeros in the first  $n_F$  components. Then the probability that the neutrino has positive helicity

$$p_{+} = \sum_{j=n_{F}+1}^{2n_{F}} |\psi_{j}|^{2} = 1 - p_{-}$$
(16)

is zero at the time of production in the sun. For simplicity we have taken a pure state  $\psi$  in (16). Therefore we obtain an upper bound for detecting a neutrino with positive helicity [4]

$$p_{+}^{d} \leqslant \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}(\lambda^{\dagger}\lambda)} \left\langle \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} dx |B_{\perp}| \right\rangle.$$
(17)

The integral goes over the neutrino path in the sun and  $B_{\perp}$  is the magnetic field orthogonal to the neutrino momentum. One has to take into account that  $p_{+}$  actually depends on the path and on the magnetic field configuration along it at the time the neutrino travels through the sun. Therefore, actually a probability  $p_{+}^{d}$  averaged over neutrino energies and paths and over magnetic fields varying with path and time is measured and the brackets around the integral in (5) indicate that the integral also has to be averaged accordingly.

Inequality (5) can, of course, also be applied to other probabilities than  $p_+$ ,  $p_-$  in the solar neutrino problem. However, in the case of vacuum mixing it is not so easy to extract useful information from (5) because vacuum mixing is also operative outside the sun. If the distance from sun to earth is incorporated into the integral then it is much greater than one for the usual range of mixing angles and mass differences in the solar neutrino problem and the inequality is useless. Therefore in that case  $p_M(t_1)$  should be considered as a probability at the edge of the sun and neutrino oscillations should be taken into account with other methods.

In the present letter we have derived a class of inequalities for the time evolution of probabilities valid in general quantum mechanical systems. In this way we have generalized an inequality derived in [4] for two neutrino flavours and the Hamiltonian (2).

The author thanks E Kh Akhmedov, S M Bilenky and B Baumgartner for interesting discussions.

### References

- Kennedy D C 1991 Invited Lectures (1990 Theoretical Advanced Studies Institute, University of Colorado at Boulder) Preprint UPR 0442 T (REV) BabuK S 1990 proc. Fourth Mexican School of Particles and Fields (Oaxtepec 1990) p 104 Pal P B 1992 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 5387
- [2] Wolfenstein L 1978 Phys. Rev. D 17 2369
   Mikheyev S P and Smirnov A Yu 1985 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 42 913; 1989 Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 23 41
- [3] Voloshin M B, Vysotskii M I and Okun L B 1986 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44 440; 1986 Sov. Phys.-JETP 64 446
- [4] Moretti M 1992 Phys. Lett. 293B 378
- [5] Thirring W 1981 Quantum Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules (Wien 1981) (New York: Springer) p 48